

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN

Honorable Edgar A. Maddox County Attorney Palo Pinto County Palo Pinto, Texas

Dear Sirt

Opinion No. 0-3593
Re: Is a military policeman of
the United States Army an
officer within the purview
of Articles 339 and 386,
Penal Code, and Article
41, Code of Griminal Propedure of Texas?

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of recent date in which you ask our opinion upon the above stated question. You further ask whether a complaint will properly lie against a private citizen, under Article 339 of the Penal Gode, where a military policeman is in the act of arresting a soldier in a uniform, without a warrant, for the offense of drunkeness in his presence, where the private citizen opposes and resists the execution of the arrest.

Article 339 of the Penal Code reads as follows:

If any person shall wilfully oppose or resist an officer in executing or attempting to execute any layful varrant for the arrest of another person in a misdemeanor case, or in arresting or attempting to arrest any person without a warrant, where the law authorizes or requires the arrest to be made without a warrant, he shall be fined not less than twenty-five nor more than five hundred dollars, and if arms be used, be fined not less than fifty nor more than one thousand dollars."

The article quoted is included in Chapter 4 of Title 8 of the Penal Code, entitled "Arrest and Custody of Prisoners."

Honorable Edgar A. Maddox, Page 2

Article 346, Fenal Code, (same chapter and title) reads as follows:

By 'Officer,' as used in this chapter, is meant any peace officer, as sheriff, deputy sheriff, constable, marshal or policeman of a city or town, any jailer or guard, or any person specially authorized by warrant to arrest."

Article 41, Code of Criminal Procedure, to which you refer, reads:

"Each sheriff shall be a conservator of the peace in his county, and shall arrest all offenders against the laws of the State, in his view or hearing, and take them before the proper court for examination or trial. He shall quell and suppress all assaults and batteries, affrays, insurrections and unlayful assemblies. He shall apprehend and commit to jail all offenders, until an examination or trial can be had."

Article 339, Penal Code, supra, has been said to relate to and prohibit resistance to peace officers. Harless v. State, 53 Tex. Cr. R. 319, 109 S. W. 934. In addition to the limitation imposed by Article 346, supra, we direct your attention to the provisions of Article 36, Code of Criminal Procedure, which we quote:

"The following are 'peace officers: '
the sheriff and his deputies, constable,
the marshal or policemen of an incorporated
town or city, the officers, non-commissioned
officers and privates of the State ranger
force, and any private person specially appointed to execute criminal process."

Thus we see that there is no provision made for the application of Article 339, Penal Code, when the arrest is attempted by a military policeman of the United States army. Article 3 and 7 of our Penal Code would seem applicable; Article 3 reads in part that "no person shall be punished for any act or omission, unless the same is made a penal offense, and a penalty is affixed thereto by the written law of this State." Article 7 contains this language: "" " and no person shall be punished for an offense which is not made penal by the plain import of the words of a law."

Honorable Edgar A. Maddox, Page 3

A military policeman, as such, is not charged with the duty of enforcing the provisions of the Texas Penal Code. His activities are regulated by and he is amenable to regulations of the War Department, orders of his superior officers and statutes of the United States. In time of war all offenses committed by soldiers are cognizable by courts-martial or military commissions. In time of peace a person in the military service who, in any portion of a State not within the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States, commits a criminal offense recognized and made punishable by the laws of the State is amenable to the State courts for such offense. Such jurisdiction is clearly recognized by the seventy-fourth article of war providing for the delivery of such offenses to the civil authorities when they are charged with offenses which are punishable by the "laws of the land." See 5 Corpus Juris 367, \$ 225; 10 U. S. C. A. \$ 1546, Title 10-Army.

Drunkeness in a public place is not within itself such an offense as will justify an arrest without a warrant even when made by one of the specifically named peace officers, except city policemen, city marshals and chiefs of police. See Bennett v. State, 136 Tex. Cr. R. 192, 124 S. W. (2d) 359.

To properly effect a prosecution for a violation of Article 359, supra, the information or indictment must allege whether the arrest was sought by virtue of a warrant or without one, and in order to sustain a conviction, the State would be required to prove facts showing under which circumstance. If the arrest was attempted without a warrant, the legality of such arrest would be essential to uphold the judgment. Harless v. State, supra; Shaw v. State, 113 Tex. Cr. R. 169, 18 S. W. (2d) 628.

You are therefore respectfully advised that a military policeman is not an officer within the purview of the statutes cited by you. While additional facts may show other provisions of the Penal Code to have been violated, you are also advised that it is our opinion that a private citizen would not be subject to punishment under Article 339, Penal Code, supra, under the facts submitted.

Yours very truly

ATTORBEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

Benjamin Woodall

Assistante

BW: RS

ATTORNEY GENERAL